## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Pl	aintiff,	Case Number <u>17-mj-70808-MAG</u>	
v.			
JUAN TEJADA-ZEPEDA, D	efendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on June 28, 2017. Defendant			
was present, represented by his attorney Rita Bosworth AFPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney			
John Bostic	· / =		
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE			
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted			
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a			
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,			
whichever is later.			
This establishes a rebuttable	presumption that no	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety			
of any other person and the community.  / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant			
	based upon (the mai	ethicit) (the facts found in fact iv bolow) to bother a that are deventable	
has committed an offense		mprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §	
801 et seq.,	§ 951 et seq., or § 9	558 et seq., OR	
B under 18 U.	S.C. § 924(c): use o	f a firearm during the commission of a felony.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the			
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
No presumption applies.	• •		
PART II REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTION	ONS, IF APPLICABLE	CC '	
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he			
therefore will be ordered detained.			
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .			
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.			
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)			
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR			
/ The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.			
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION			
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at			
the hearing and finds as follows:			
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.			
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION			
The defendant is committed to the	he custody of the At	torney General or his designated representative for confinement in a	
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.			
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the			
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the			
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.			
1 1			
Dated: 6 28/17			
1 / 1		HOWARD & LLOYD	
		United States Magistrate Judge	

AUSA \_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_